EXHIBIT 1

	Case 5:23-cv-00374-EJD Document 34-2	2 Filed 08/26/24 Page 2 of 35	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3			
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ACQUISITION CORP.),	Case No. 5:23-CV-00374 STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT	
26 27 28	- 1	_	
	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT CASE NO. 5:23-CV-00374		

11

1

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT

This Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated August 26, 2024 (the "Stipulation"), is made and entered into by and among the following Settling Parties (as defined herein), each by and through their respective counsel: (i) Melissa Hanna ("Hanna"), plaintiff in the shareholder derivative action captioned Hanna v. Kabot, et al., Case No. 5:23-cv-00374 (N.D. Cal.) (the "Hanna Action"); (ii) Justin Rivlin ("Rivlin"), plaintiff in the shareholder derivative action captioned *Rivlin v. Kabot*, 7 et al., Case No. 2:23-cv-03120 (C.D. Cal.) (the "Rivlin Action"); (iii) Brian Lindsey ("Lindsey"), 8 plaintiff in the shareholder derivative action captioned Lindsey v. Quiroga, et al., Case No. 2023-9 0674 (Del. Ch.) (the "Lindsey Action"); (iv) Kamal Qureshi ("Qureshi"), a stockholder who served 10 a litigation demand on the Board of Directors ("Board") of Momentus Inc. (the "Litigation Demand," and collectively with the other actions, the "Derivative Matters");¹ (v) defendants Brian 12 Kabot ("Kabot"), Juan Manuel Quiroga ("Quiroga"), Edward K. Freedman ("Freedman"), James 13 Norris ("Norris"), Marc Lehmann ("Lehmann"), James Hofmockel ("Hofmockel"), Ann Kono 14 ("Kono"), Dawn Harms ("Harms"), Fred Kennedy ("Kennedy"), Chris Hadfield ("Hadfield"), 15 Mitchel B. Kugler ("Kugler"), Victorino Mercado ("Mercado"), Kimberly A. Reed ("Reed"), Linda 16 J. Reiners ("Reiners"), and John C. Rood ("Rood") (collectively, with defendant Mikhail Kokorich 17 ("Kokorich"), the "Individual Defendants"); (vi) Stable Road Acquisition Corp. ("SRAC") and SRC-NI Holdings LLC (the "Sponsor") (collectively, the "SRAC Defendants"); and (vii) Momentus 18 19 Inc. ("Momentus" or the "Company") (together with the Individual Defendants and the SRAC 20 Defendants, the "Defendants") (Plaintiffs and the undersigned Individual Defendants and the SRAC Defendants are collectively referred to as the "Settling Parties" and together with Kokorich as the "Parties").

of California (the "Northern District of California" or the "Court"), before which the Hanna Action

is pending, is intended by the Settling Parties to fully, finally, and forever compromise, resolve,

This Stipulation, subject to the approval of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District

28

Plaintiffs Rivlin, Hanna, Lindsey, and stockholder Qureshi are collectively referred to herein as "Plaintiffs."

discharge, and settle the Released Claims and to result in the complete resolution and/or dismissal of the Derivative Matters with prejudice, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein, and without any admission or concession as to the merits of any of the Settling Parties' claims or defenses.

I.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

INTRODUCTION

A. <u>Factual Background</u>

Momentus, a Delaware corporation headquartered in San Jose, California, is a commercial space company that offers satellites, satellite buses, and other satellite components, transportation and infrastructure services, including hosted payloads and other in-orbit services, to help enable the commercialization of space.

Momentus came to exist in its current form through a merger transaction (the "Merger") it conducted with Stable Road Acquisition Company ("SRAC"), a special purpose acquisition company ("SPAC"), and SRAC's affiliated subsidiaries. SRAC was incorporated on May 28, 2019, in the state of Delaware with its headquarters located in Venice Beach, California. Prior to the Merger, SRAC's stated purpose was to find and acquire a cannabis company. SRAC completed its initial public offering ("IPO") on November 13, 2019, and on October 7, 2020, SRAC and Momentus Inc. ("Legacy Momentus") announced they had entered into a merger agreement. Pursuant to the Merger, which the Company consummated on August 12, 2021, Legacy Momentus' business operations became the public Company's operations.

The Derivative Matters allege that, beginning in at least October 2020, Momentus, through the actions of the Individual Defendants, engaged in a pattern of manipulation to boost its reported financial performance. The Derivative Matters asserted claims for violations of Section 14(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act (the "Exchange Act"), breaches of fiduciary duties, aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duties, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement and/or contribution under Sections 10(b) and 21D of the Exchange Act against the Individual Defendants allegedly who caused Momentus to make – and fail to correct – materially false and misleading statements and omissions regarding the business operations and

- 3 -

prospects of Legacy Momentus, particularly leading up to the Merger, which certain of the Defendants were materially interested in, which artificially inflated the Company's stock value.

Specifically, the Company's officers and directors are alleged to have failed to disclose to investors that: (i) the federal government had determined that the founder of the Company's legacy business, Defendant Kokorich, was a threat to national security; (ii) Legacy Momentus had never successfully tested its technology in space; (iii) the projections of Legacy Momentus' future revenues were grossly overstated; and (iv) the due diligence of Legacy Momentus was superficial, ignored red flags that necessitated further investigation, and did not provide a reasonable basis for the public statements about Legacy Momentus and its Merger with SRAC. It was further alleged that the defendants failed to ensure that the Company maintained proper policies, procedures, and controls to protect Momentus from harm caused by the issuance of false and misleading statements and other related misconduct.

The Derivative Matters allege that, as a result of the Individual Defendants' and the Sponsor's alleged mismanagement, self-dealing, and wrongdoing, the Company suffered significant harm. The Derivative Matters allege that the Company faced an action by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), naming as defendants SRAC, the Sponsor, Kabot, and Kokorich (the "SEC Action"). All parties apart from Kokorich settled the SEC Action, with the settlement terms including more than \$8 million in penalties, tailored investor protection undertakings, and the Sponsor's forfeiture of founder shares it stood to receive from the completed Merger. The SEC Action brought claims for violation of Sections 10(b) and 17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.

The Derivative Matters also allege that the Company grossly overpaid with newly issued shares of common stock for acquiring the business operations of Legacy Momentus in connection with the Merger (the "Overpayment Misconduct").

Additionally, on July 15, 2021, a securities class action was filed in the United States District
Court for the Central District of California, which is captioned *In re Stable Road Acquisition Corp. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 2:21-cv-05744 (the "Securities Class Action"). The Securities Class

- 4 -

Case 5:23-cv-00374-EJD Document 34-2 Filed 08/26/24 Page 6 of 35

Action named as defendants SRAC, Momentus, the Sponsor, Kabot, Quiroga, Norris, Hofmockel, Kokorich, Harms, and Kennedy (collectively, the "Securities Class Action Defendants"). The Securities Class Action brought claims for violation of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. On August 18, 2023, the parties in the Securities Class Action agreed to a settlement of \$8.5 million. On April 23, 2024, the Court entered an order and judgment finally approving the settlement of the Securities Class Action.

The Derivative Matters further allege that, despite ongoing issues and concerns, the Merger closed in early August 2021. Just prior to that, and after the Securities Class Action was initiated, the Company issued a proxy solicitation on July 23, 2021, on Form 424B3 (the "Merger Proxy"), soliciting shareholders to approve (among other things), the Merger, the 2021 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2021 Plan"), which directors, officers and others were eligible to receive equity awards thereunder, and a proposal to increase the total number of authorized shares of common stock immediately prior to the closing of the Merger.

The Merger Proxy, which allegedly contained materially false and misleading statements and omissions, was successful in achieving shareholder approval of the proposals set further therein. During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2021, and December 31, 2022, several of the Individual Defendants received hundreds of thousands of dollars in stock awards granted under the 2021 Plan. In addition, certain founders of Legacy Momentus, including Kokorich, were paid millions of dollars by the Company after the Merger.

As set forth in more detail herein, the Defendants have vigorously denied, and continue to deny vigorously, any and all allegations of wrongdoing or liability with respect to the claims asserted in the Derivative Matters. The Defendants also contend that Plaintiffs lack standing to maintain derivative claims on behalf of Momentus.

B.

Procedural Background

1. The Hanna Action

On August 16, 2022, Plaintiff Hanna made a formal litigation demand ("Demand") to the Board where she demanded that the Board: (i) undertake (or cause to be undertaken) an independent

1

2

3

4

5

Case 5:23-cv-00374-EJD Document 34-2 Filed 08/26/24 Page 7 of 35

internal investigation into the Individual Defendants' alleged violations of Delaware and federal law; and (ii) if warranted, commence a civil action against the Individual Defendants (and any others who may be similarly liable for breach of fiduciary duty of care, breach of fiduciary duty of loyalty, aiding and abetting breaches of fiduciary duties, contribution, and indemnification against Individual Defendants) to recover for the benefit of the Company the amount of damages sustained by the Company as a result of their alleged breaches of fiduciary duties, as well as take additional affirmative action to redress the wrongdoing and mismanagement and prevent such wrongdoing from occurring again in the future.

The Demand further alleges that the Individual Defendants violated their core fiduciary duty obligations during the relevant period defined therein through their alleged wrongful conduct, in the Securities Class Action, and the SEC Action. To redress these alleged wrongs and prevent such alleged wrongdoing from occurring again in the future, Plaintiff Hanna demanded: (i) the Board require the directors and officers to account to the Company for all damages sustained, or to be sustained, by the Company by reason of the wrongful conduct and mismanagement, and make certain that no Company funds are used towards any settlement or resolution of the Securities Class Action or any related or similar litigation or investigation arising from the misconduct stated above or in the SEC Action or Securities Class Action; (ii) the Board require the directors and officers to return to the Company all salaries, bonuses, and the value of other remuneration of whatever kind paid to them during the time they were in breach of their fiduciary duties; (iii) the Board require the directors and officers to pay interest, at the highest rate allowable by law, on the amount of damages sustained by the Company as a result of their culpable conduct; and (iv) the Board adopt and implement internal controls and systems at the Company and its subsidiaries, as well as corporate governance reforms, to ensure that the alleged wrongful conduct and mismanagement is not permitted to occur in the future.

The Demand further alleges that without providing any substantive information, for several months, the Board continued to defer investigating the alleged wrongdoing identified in the

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 6 -

Demand. Accordingly, on January 25, 2023, Plaintiff Hanna filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. *See* Hanna ECF No. 1.²

On April 12, 2023, the parties to the Hanna Action stipulated to stay the Hanna Action until August 4, 2023, which the court ordered on April 19, 2023. Hanna ECF No. 16. On August 18, 2023, the parties to the Hanna Action stipulated to continue the stay pending the outcome of the mediation, Hanna ECF No. 17, which was ordered by the court on August 21, 2023. Hanna ECF No. 18. The parties further stipulated to extend the stay in November 2023 and January 2024. *See* Hanna ECF Nos. 18–19, 22–23.

Following this, the parties to the Hanna Action filed status reports with the court informing it of the progress of the settlement negotiations. *See* Hanna ECF Nos. 24, 26, 28, 30. The court then set a date for a status conference regarding settlement on September 5, 2024, and ordered the parties to file a joint status report regarding the status of settlement on or before August 23, 2024. Hanna ECF No. 31.

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2. <u>The Rivlin Action</u>

On April 25, 2023, Plaintiff Rivlin initiated a derivative action on the Company's behalf against a subset of the Individual Defendants in the United States District Court for the Central District of California captioned *Rivlin v. Kabot, et al.*, Case No. 2:23-cv-03120 (C.D. Cal.). On July 14, 2023, these defendants filed motions to dismiss (Rivlin ECF No. 19), and Plaintiff responded by filing a Verified Amended Shareholder Derivative Complaint, in which it named additional Individual Defendants and the Sponsor as defendants. Rivlin ECF No. 28. The parties then stipulated to extend defendants' deadlines to respond to the amended complaint to allow the parties to engage in good faith settlement negotiations by way of mediation. Rivlin ECF Nos. 34–35. Following this, the parties vacated all deadlines for the Rivlin Action and submitted status reports to the Court regarding the status of the settlement negotiations. Rivlin ECF Nos. 46-48, 50, 53, 54.

25 26

28

27

- 7 -

² References to "Hanna ECF No. ___" refer to filings on the docket in the Hanna Action; references to "Rivlin ECF No. __" refer to filings on the docket in the Rivlin Action; and references to "Lindsey ECF No. __" refer to filings on the docket in the Lindsey Action.

Case 5:23-cv-00374-EJD Document 34-2 Filed 08/26/24 Page 9 of 35

The Rivlin Action alleges that defendants violated Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act, breached their fiduciary duties, including by enabling or participating in the alleged Overpayment Misconduct, and/or engaged in related additional misconduct between October 7, 2020, and November 22, 2022, and pleads that demand on the Board would have been futile and is thus, excused. *See* Rivlin ECF No. 1.

Moreover, the Rivlin Action alleges that certain Individual Defendants and the Sponsor unjustly materially benefited from hundreds of thousands of dollars' worth of stock grants provided to them under the 2021 Plan, which was solicited and approved by way of the false and misleading Merger Proxy. These awards allegedly provided certain of the director defendants with the financial motivation to allow the alleged misconduct to occur, including the alleged Overpayment Misconduct, and allegedly conflicted them from considering any demand to act against the individuals that facilitated the schemes. As alleged in the Rivlin Action, the stock awards granted under the 2021 Plan were allegedly materially greater than the fees paid, or cash earned for mere director services (double to even ten times more in some cases). Rivlin ECF No. 1.

The Rivlin Action also alleges breach of fiduciary duty claims that raise a federal question based on claims made in the Securities Class Action for making false and misleading statements and omissions in violation of the federal securities laws and seeks contribution under Section 10(b) and 21D of the Exchange Act against the Sponsor and Defendants Kabot, Kokorich, Harms, and Kennedy. Rivlin ECF No. 1.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

3. <u>The Lindsey Action</u>

On October 28, 2022, Plaintiff Lindsey made a formal demand on the Board to investigate and commence legal proceedings against certain directors, executive officers and agents of the Company.

On November 10, 2022, counsel to the Board responded to Plaintiff Lindsey's demand in an email, stating that the Board was reviewing the October 28, 2022 demand and would provide a response shortly.

1

On January 20, 2023, the Board's counsel sent Plaintiff's counsel a letter, stating: "Given the time that remains before expiration of the applicable statutes of limitations, as well as the similarity between the Haenisch/Shirley Matters and the litigation proposed in your previous correspondence, the Company defers resolution of your request as it considers the propriety of forming a demand review committee to evaluate all shareholder demands asserted against the Company and the Company's rights and potential claims to vindicate the best interests of the Company."

Thereafter, the Board allegedly failed to act upon Plaintiff Lindsey's demand and five more months passed. Plaintiff Lindsey considered the Board's refusal to enter into a tolling agreement with the Individual Defendants and failure to respond to his demand as more delay and as a *de facto* refusal to act upon his demand. On June 30, 2023, Plaintiff Lindsey filed his demand refused derivative action in Delaware Chancery Court. Lindsey ECF No. 1.

On July 24, 2023, the parties to the Lindsey Action stipulated to extend time for defendants to move or otherwise respond to Plaintiff Lindsey's complaint, Lindsey ECF No. 15, which was granted on July 25, 2023. Lindsey ECF No. 16. The court further extended the time for defendants to move or otherwise respond to the complaint on September 20, 2023, and November 20, 2023. Lindsey ECF Nos. 17, 19.

On January 18, 2024, following the settlement negotiations (detailed *infra*) the court vacated the deadline for the defendants to move or otherwise respond to Plaintiff Lindsey's complaint, and ordered the parties to either submit a status report regarding settlement negotiations or submit a stipulation of settlement and motion papers. Lindsey ECF No. 20. The parties to the Lindsey Action filed status reports to the court informing it of the status of settlement negotiations on February 16, 2024, March 18, 2024, and May 17, 2024. Lindsey ECF Nos. 21, 22, 26.

4. **The Litigation Demand**

On February 21, 2023, counsel for Plaintiff Hanna sent the Board a letter informing it that another Momentus stockholder, Kamal Qureshi ("Qureshi"), had reviewed Plaintiff Hanna's Demand and was formally joining it in its entirety, as if it was originally served on his behalf. Hanna

-9-

and Qureshi both previously served books and records demands pursuant to 8 *Del. C.* § 220, entered into mutually agreed upon confidentiality agreements, and received documents from the Company. Counsel for the Board acknowledged Qureshi's joinder to the Demand in a letter sent to Plaintiff Hanna's and Qureshi's counsel on March 1, 2023, but failed to provide Qureshi with any substantive update regarding any investigation of the allegations made in the Demand.

C. <u>Settlement Negotiations</u>

On March 17, 2023, Plaintiff Hanna sent a settlement demand to Defendants which set forth, *inter alia*, a demand to settle the Hanna Action in consideration of certain corporate governance reforms.

On August 31, 2023, Plaintiff Lindsey also sent a settlement demand to Defendants which set forth, *inter alia*, a demand to settle the Lindsey Action in consideration of certain corporate governance reforms.

Following this, the Parties to the Derivative Matters agreed to a full day mediation on October 25, 2023 (the "Mediation") organized and conducted by Jed D. Melnick, Esq. of JAMS ADR (the "Mediator"). During the Mediation, the Parties made substantial progress. While the Parties did not settle that day, they continued, with the assistance of the Mediator, to exchange detailed settlement demands and counter-demands and negotiated settlement terms over the course of the following months.

Ultimately, on February 14, 2024, the Settling Parties reached an agreement in principle on the corporate governance measures that will be adopted by Momentus as consideration for a global resolution of the Derivative Matters. Thereafter, the Settling Parties negotiated the formal operative terms of the settlement (the "Settlement") in a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU"), as now set forth in this Stipulation of Settlement (the "Stipulation").

After the Settling Parties reached an agreement in principle on the material substantive terms to resolve the Derivative Matters, Plaintiffs' Counsel and Defendants' Counsel commenced negotiations regarding an appropriate award of attorneys' fees and expenses commensurate with the value of the Settlement benefits and the contributions of Plaintiffs' Counsel to the Settlement. The

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 10 -

fee negotiations were facilitated and supervised by the Mediator, who was familiar with the complexity of the issues, risks, and challenges confronted by Plaintiffs, as well as the Plaintiffs' Counsel's efforts in securing the Settlement benefits. Following a number of exchanges through the Mediator, Plaintiffs' Counsel and Defendants' Counsel agreed to a payment of attorneys' fees, expenses, and service awards (the "Fee and Expense Amount") of <u>\$300,000</u>, subject to Court approval, funded exclusively by the Company's insurance carriers.

II. PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S INVESTIGATION AND RESEARCH, PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS, AND THE BENEFIT OF SETTLEMENT

Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel believe that the claims asserted in the Derivative Matters have merit and that their investigations support the claims asserted. However, and without conceding the merit of any of Defendants' defenses or the lack of merit of any of their own allegations, based upon their thorough investigation and evaluation of the relevant evidence, substantive law, procedural rules, and their assessment of the interests of Momentus and Current Momentus Stockholders, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel have determined that the Settlement confers substantial benefits upon Momentus and Current Momentus Stockholders in the form of the Corporate Governance Reforms reflected in <u>Exhibit A</u> hereto ("Reforms"), which are fair, reasonable and adequate consideration for forgoing the pursuit of a potentially superior recovery through further litigation, and serve the best interests of Momentus and Current Momentus Stockholders.

Plaintiffs' Counsel attest that they conducted an investigation relating to the claims and the underlying events alleged in the Derivative Matters, including, but not limited to: (i) reviewing and analyzing Momentus' public filings with the SEC, press releases, announcements, transcripts of investor conference calls, and news articles; (ii) reviewing and analyzing the investigations in publicly-available pleadings against Momentus related to the allegations in the Derivative Matters; (iii) reviewing and analyzing the allegations contained in the related Securities Class Action; (iv) researching, drafting, and filing shareholder derivative complaints; (v) reviewing internal books and records produced by the Company pursuant to books and records demands; (vi) researching the applicable law with respect to the claims asserted (or which could be asserted) in the Derivative - 11 -

Matters and the potential defenses thereto; (vii) researching corporate governance issues; (viii) preparing detailed litigation and settlement demands on behalf of various Plaintiffs and a mediation statement; (ix) participating in the Mediation; (x) engaging in extensive pre- and post-mediation settlement discussions and exchanging extensive corporate governance reforms and counteroffers, with the Mediator and counsel for the Defendants; and (xi) negotiating and drafting the settlement documentation for presentment to the Court.

Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel also have taken into account the uncertain outcome and the risk of any litigation, especially complex litigation such as the Derivative Matters, as well as the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation. Plaintiffs' Counsel's views are further informed by their experience and thorough analysis of the facts and law governing the applicable derivative standing and pleading requirements, substantive claims and defenses, and damages and disgorgement remedies. Plaintiffs' Counsel's assessment of the facts and legal issues material to their recommendation in favor of the Settlement was honed and refined in the course of drafting litigation demands and pleadings, and during the lengthy substantive written and verbal exchanges with Defendants' Counsel and the Mediator.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs have agreed to settle the Derivative Matters upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein having fully vetted the strengths and weaknesses of the relevant factual issues and legal claims for the benefit of the Company and its stockholders.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

III. DEFENDANTS' DENIALS OF WRONGDOING AND LIABILITY

The Defendants deny any and all allegations of wrongdoing or liability with respect to the claims asserted in the Derivative Matters, including without limitation that they breached their fiduciary duties or any other duty owed to the Company or its stockholders or that they aided and abetted others in breach of such duties. The Defendants further assert that at all relevant times, they acted in good faith and in a manner that they reasonably believed to be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders, and diligently and scrupulously complied with any applicable fiduciary duties. Defendants also contend that Plaintiffs have not alleged sufficient facts to establish that they may maintain their derivative claims, either by failing to plead demand futility or failing to plead that demand was wrongfully refused.

Without admitting the validity of any of the claims that Plaintiffs have asserted in the Derivative Matters, or any liability with respect thereto, the Defendants have concluded that it is desirable and in the best interests of Momentus and Current Momentus Stockholders that the claims be settled on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein. Defendants are entering into this Settlement solely to avoid the burden, inconvenience, expense, risk, and distraction of continued litigation, and finally put to rest and terminate all the claims that were or could have been asserted against Defendants in the Derivative Matters.

10 Neither this Stipulation, nor any of its terms or provisions, nor the Settlement or entry of the Judgment, nor any document or exhibit referred or attached to the Settlement, may be construed or used as evidence of the validity of any of the Plaintiffs' Released Claims or of Defendants' Released Claims, or an admission by or against Defendants of any fault, wrongdoing, or concession of 14 liability whatsoever.

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

IV. BOARD APPROVAL

The independent members of the Company's Board shall approve a resolution reflecting its determination, in a good faith exercise of its business judgment, that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders, and that the Settlement, including the Reforms, confers substantial benefits upon the Company and its stockholders.³

20

V. **TERMS OF STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT**

Plaintiffs (for themselves and derivatively on behalf of Momentus), the Individual Defendants, the Sponsor, and nominal defendant Momentus, by and through their respective counsel or attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and agree that, subject to approval by the Court, in consideration of the benefits flowing to the Settling Parties hereto, the Derivative Matters and all of

³ Plaintiffs acknowledge and agree that the following Board members are independent for purposes of this provision: Chris Hadfield, Mitchel B. Kugler, Victorino Mercado, Kimberly A. Reed, Linda J. Reiners, and John C. Rood.

the Released Claims shall be fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, discharged, and dismissed with prejudice and with full preclusive effect as to all Parties, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein as follows:

1. <u>Definitions</u>

As used in this Stipulation, the following terms have the meanings specified below. In the event of any inconsistency between any definition set forth below and any definition set forth in any document in the form of the exhibits to this Stipulation, the definition set forth below shall control.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

1.1 "Board" means the Momentus Board of Directors.

1.2 "Court" refers to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California before the Honorable Edward J. Davila.

1.3 "Current Momentus Stockholders" means any Person who owns Momentus common stock as of the date of the execution of this Stipulation and continues to hold their Momentus common stock as of the date of the Settlement Hearing, excluding the Individual Defendants, the Sponsor, the officers and directors of Momentus, members of their immediate families, and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns, and any entity in which Individual Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

17 1.4 "Defendants" means, collectively, the Individual Defendants, the SRAC Defendants,
18 and nominal defendant Momentus.

1.5 "Defendants' Counsel" means Baker and McKenzie LLP; Bradley Arant Boult
Cummings LLP; Christensen & Dougherty LLP; Esbrook P.C.; Kirkland & Ellis LLP; the Maloney
Firm, APC; Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.; the Rosner Law Group LLC; Wilson Elser Moskowitz
Edelman & Dicker LLP; Winston Strawn LLP; and Stoner Carlson LLP.

23242526

1.6 "Defendants' Releasees" shall mean the Company and each Defendant in each of the Derivative Matters and each of their respective parents, subsidiaries, affiliates and controlling persons, and any current or former officer or director of any of the foregoing, and each of their respective past, present, or future family members, spouses, heirs, trusts, trustees, executors, estates, administrators, beneficiaries, distributes, foundations, agents, employees, fiduciaries, partners,

28

27

- 14 -

Case 5:23-cv-00374-EJD Document 34-2 Filed 08/26/24 Page 16 of 35

partnerships, general or limited partners or partnerships, joint ventures, member firms, limited liability companies, corporations, divisions, affiliates, associated entities, stockholders, principals, officers, directors, managing directors, members, managing members, managing agents, predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, assigns, financial or investment advisors, advisors, consultants, investment bankers, entities providing any fairness opinion, underwriters, brokers, dealers, lenders, commercial bankers, attorneys (including all Defendants' counsel in the Derivative Matters), counsel, personal or legal representatives, accountants, insurers, co-insurers, reinsurers, and associates.

"Defendants' Released Claims" shall mean all manner of claims, demands, rights, 1.7 10 liabilities, losses, obligations, duties, damages, costs, debts, expenses, interest, penalties, sanctions, fees, attorneys' fees, actions, potential actions, causes of action, suits, agreements, judgments, 12 decrees, matters, issues and controversies of any kind, nature, or description whatsoever, whether known or unknown, disclosed or undisclosed, accrued or unaccrued, apparent or not apparent, 14 foreseen or unforeseen, matured or not matured, suspected or unsuspected, liquidated or not liquidated, fixed or contingent, including unknown claims, whether based on state, local, foreign, 16 federal, statutory, regulatory, common, or other law or rule, that are, have been, could have been, 17 could now be, or in the future could, can, or might be asserted, in the Derivative Matters, or in any 18 other court, tribunal, or proceeding by Defendants' Releasees against any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees which have in the past been, or now or hereafter, are based upon, arise out of, relate in a material 20 way to, or materially involve, directly or indirectly, the actions, transactions, occurrences, statements, representations, misrepresentations, omissions, allegations, disclosures, facts, practices, 22 events, claims, or any other matters, things, or causes whatsoever, or any series thereof, that were alleged, asserted, set forth, claimed, embraced, involved, or referred to in, or related to, in each case, 24 (a) the Derivative Matters, or (b) which could have been asserted against any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees in the Derivative Matters. Defendants' Released Claims shall not include any Unreleased Claims as defined herein at \P 1.39.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

15

19

21

23

25

26

27

- 15 -

1.8 "Derivative Matters" means, collectively: (i) the Rivlin Action; (ii) the Hanna Action;(iii) the Lindsey Action; and (iv) the Litigation Demand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1.9 "Effective Date" means the first date by which all of the events and conditions specified in V, 6.1 herein have been met and have occurred.

1.10 "Fee and Expense Amount" means the terms of the sum to be paid to Plaintiffs'
Counsel and for their attorneys' fees and expenses, as detailed in §V, ¶¶5.1, *et seq.* of this
Stipulation, subject to approval by the Court.

1.11 "Final" means the date upon which the last of the following shall occur with respect to the Final Order and Judgment approving this Stipulation, substantially in the form of **Exhibits E**, **F and G** attached hereto: (i) the expiration of the time to file a notice of appeal from the Judgment; or (ii) if an appeal has been filed, the court of appeals has either affirmed the Judgment or dismissed that appeal and the time for any reconsideration or further appellate review has passed; or (iii) if a higher court has granted further appellate review, that court has either affirmed the underlying Judgment or affirmed the court of appeal's decision affirming the Judgment or dismissing the appeal. For purposes of this paragraph, an "appeal" shall not include any appeal that concerns only the issue of attorneys' fees and expenses or the payment of service awards to the Plaintiffs. Any proceeding or order, or any appeal or petition for a writ of certiorari pertaining solely to the application for attorneys' fees, costs, or expenses, or the payment of service awards to the Plaintiffs shall not in any way delay or preclude the Judgment from becoming Final.

1.12 "Final Order and Judgment" or "Judgment" means the final order and judgment to be entered by the Court, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit E**.

1.13 "Hanna Action" means the shareholder derivative action filed by Plaintiff Melissa
Hanna in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, captioned: *Hanna v. Kabot, et al.*, Case No. 5:23-cv-00374 (N.D. Cal.).

1.14 "Individual Defendants" means, collectively, Brian Kabot, Juan Manuel Quiroga,Edward K. Freedman, James Norris, Marc Lehmann, James Hofmockel, Ann Kono, Mikhail

Kokorich, Dawn Harms, Fred Kennedy, Chris Hadfield, Mitchel B. Kugler, Victorino Mercado, Kimberly A. Reed, Linda J. Reiners, and John C. Rood.

1.15 "Lindsey Action" means the shareholder derivative action filed by Plaintiff Lindsey in the Court of Chancery of Delaware, captioned: *Lindsey v. Quiroga, et al.*, Case No. 2023-0674 (Del. Ch.).

1.16 "Litigation Demand" means the shareholder litigation demand served on the Board by shareholder Kamal Qureshi to investigate and commence legal proceedings against certain of the Defendants.

1.17 "Mediator" means Jed D. Melnick, Esq. of JAMS ADR.

1.18 "Momentus" or the "Company" means nominal defendant Momentus Inc. and includes all of its subsidiaries, predecessors (including but not limited to SRAC), successors, affiliates, officers, directors, employees, and agents.

1.19 "Notice" means the Notice of Proposed Derivative Settlement and of Settlement Hearing, substantially in the form of **Exhibit C** attached hereto.

1.20 "Person(s)" means an individual, corporation, limited liability company, professional corporation, partnership, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, association, joint stock company, estate, legal representative, trust, unincorporated association, government or any political subdivision or agency thereof, and any business or legal entity, and their spouses, heirs, predecessors, successors, administrators, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, representatives, or assignees.

1.21 "Plaintiffs" means, collectively, Justin Rivlin, Melissa Hanna, and Brian Lindsey, along with stockholder Kamal Qureshi.

1.22 "Plaintiffs' Counsel" means (i) Johnson Fistel LLP, 501 West Broadway, Suite 800,
San Diego, CA 92101; (ii) The Brown Law Firm, P.C., 767 Third Avenue, Suite 2501, New York,
NY 10017; (iii) The Rosen Law Firm, P.A., 275 Madison Avenue, 40th Floor, New York, NY
10016; and (iv) Gainey McKenna & Egleston, 260 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor, New York, NY
10016.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 17 -

1.23 "Plaintiffs' Releasees" shall mean each Plaintiff and each and every other Company stockholder (directly or indirectly), and each of their respective parents, subsidiaries, affiliates and controlling persons, and any current or former officer or director of any of the foregoing, and each of their respective past, present, or future family members, spouses, heirs, trusts, trustees, executors, estates, administrators, beneficiaries, distributes, foundations, agents, employees, fiduciaries, partners, partnerships, general or limited partners or partnerships, joint ventures, member firms, limited liability companies, corporations, divisions, affiliates, associated entities, stockholders, principals, officers, directors, managing directors, members, managing members, managing agents, predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, assigns, financial or investment advisors, advisors, consultants, investment bankers, entities providing any fairness opinion, underwriters, brokers, dealers, lenders, commercial bankers, attorneys (including Plaintiffs' Counsel), personal or legal representatives, accountants, insurers, co-insurers, reinsurers, and associates.

1.24 "Plaintiffs' Released Claims" shall mean any and all manner of claims, demands, rights, liabilities, losses, obligations, duties, damages, costs, debts, expenses, interest, penalties, sanctions, fees, attorneys' fees, actions, potential actions, causes of action, suits, agreements, judgments, decrees, matters, issues and controversies of any kind, nature, or description whatsoever, whether known or unknown, disclosed or undisclosed, accrued or unaccrued, apparent or not apparent, foreseen or unforeseen, matured or not matured, suspected or unsuspected, liquidated or not liquidated, fixed or contingent, including unknown claims, whether based on state, local, foreign, federal, statutory, regulatory, common, or other law or rule, that are, have been, could have been, could now be, or in the future could, can, or might be asserted, in the Derivative Matters or in any other court, tribunal, or proceeding by Plaintiffs, any other Company stockholder derivatively on behalf of the Company, or by the Company directly against any of the Defendants' Releasees which have in the past been, or now or hereafter, are based upon, arise out of, relate in a material way to, or materially involve, directly or indirectly, the actions, transactions, occurrences, statements, representations, misrepresentations, omissions, allegations, disclosures, facts, practices,

- 18 -

Case 5:23-cv-00374-EJD Document 34-2 Filed 08/26/24 Page 20 of 35

events, claims, or any other matters, things, or causes whatsoever, or any series thereof, that were alleged, asserted, set forth, claimed, embraced, involved, or referred to in, or related to, in each case, (a) the Derivative Matters, or (b) which could have been asserted against any of the Defendants' Releasees in the Derivative Matters. Plaintiffs' Released Claims shall not include any Unreleased Claims as defined herein at ¶ 1.39.

1.25 "Preliminary Approval Order" means the order to be entered by the Court, substantially in the form of **Exhibit B** attached hereto, preliminarily approving the terms and conditions of the Settlement as set forth in this Stipulation, directing that Notice be provided to Current Momentus Stockholders, and scheduling a Settlement Hearing to consider whether the Settlement, Fee and Expense Amount, and Plaintiff Service Awards should be finally approved.

1.26 "Related Person(s)" means each of a Person's past, present, or future family members, spouses, domestic partners, parents, associates, affiliates, divisions, subsidiaries, officers, directors, shareholders, owners, members, representatives, employees, attorneys, financial or investment advisors, consultants, underwriters, investment banks or bankers, commercial bankers, insurers, reinsurers, excess insurers, co-insurers, advisors, principals, agents, heirs, executors, trustees, estates, beneficiaries, distributees, foundations, general or limited partners or partnerships, joint ventures, personal or legal representatives, administrators, or any other person or entity acting or purporting to act for or on behalf of any Person, and each of their respective predecessors, successors, and assigns.

1.27 "Released Claim(s)" means collectively all claims (including Unknown Claims), demands, debts, losses, damages, duties, rights, disputes, actions, causes of action, liabilities, obligations, judgments, suits, matters, controversies, proceedings, or issues, of any kind, nature, character, or description whatsoever (and including, but not limited to, any claims for damages, whether compensatory, consequential, special, punitive, exemplary, or otherwise, and any and all fees, costs, interest, expenses, or charges), whether known or unknown, contingent or absolute, suspected or unsuspected, foreseen or unforeseen, disclosed or undisclosed, concealed or hidden, apparent or not apparent, accrued or unaccrued, matured or unmatured, liquidated or not liquidated,

- 19 -

Case 5:23-cv-00374-EJD Document 34-2 Filed 08/26/24 Page 21 of 35

asserted or unasserted, at law or in equity, that have been asserted, could have been asserted, or in the future could be asserted against any Released Persons in the Litigation, or in any other court, tribunal, forum, or proceeding, based upon, arising from, or in any way related to the transactions or occurrences referenced in the Derivative Matters (including without limitation claims of fraud, breach of any duty, negligence, gross negligence, mismanagement, gross mismanagement, corporate waste, abuse of control, unjust enrichment, disgorgement, recoupment, contribution, indemnification, and violations of federal securities laws, whether individual, derivative, representative, legal, equitable, or any other type, and arising under United States federal, state or local law, foreign law, common law, statutory law, administrative law, rule, regulation, or at equity). Released Claim(s) shall not include any Unreleased Claims as defined herein at ¶ 1.39.

1.28 "Rivlin Action" means the shareholder derivative action filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, captioned: Rivlin v. Kabot, et al., Case No. 2:23cv-03120 (C.D. Cal.).

1.29 "Securities Class Action" means In re Stable Road Acquisition Corp. Securities Litigation, Case No. 2:21-cv-05744 (C.D. Cal.).

1.30 "Settling Parties" means, collectively, Plaintiffs (on behalf of themselves and derivatively on behalf of Momentus), the undersigned Individual Defendants (excluding Kokorich), the SRAC Defendants, and nominal defendant Momentus.

1.31 "Settlement" means the agreement, terms, and conditions contained in this Stipulation and its exhibits.

1.32 "Settlement Hearing" means a hearing by the Court to review the adequacy, fairness, and reasonableness of the Settlement set forth in this Stipulation and to determine: (i) whether to enter the Judgment; and (ii) all other matters properly before the Court.

1.33 "Sponsor" means SRC-NI Holdings LLC.

1.34 "SRAC" means Stable Road Acquisition Corp.

26 1.35 "SRAC Defendants" means, collectively, Stable Road Acquisition Corp. and the 27 Sponsor.

- 20 -

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT CASE NO. 5:23-CV-00374

1

2

3

4

1.36 "Stipulation" means this Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated August 26,2024.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1.37 "Summary Notice" means the Summary Notice of Proposed Derivative Settlement, substantially in the form of **Exhibit D** attached hereto.

1.38 "Unknown Claims" means any claim a Person does not know or suspect to exist in his, her, or its favor at the time of the releases provided for herein, including claims which, if known by him, her, or it, might have affected his, her, or its settlement with and release of the Released Persons as described herein, or might have affected his, her, or its decision not to object to this Settlement. With respect to any and all Released Claims, the Settling Parties stipulate and agree that, upon the Effective Date, the Releasing Persons shall expressly waive, and shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, expressly waived the provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by or under California Civil Code §1542, or any other law of the United States or any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent in effect to §1542, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

The Settling Parties acknowledge that they may discover facts in addition to or different from those now known or believed to be true by them with respect to the released claims, but it is the intention of the Settling Parties completely, fully, finally, and forever to compromise, settle, release, discharge, and extinguish any and all of the Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or absolute, accrued or unaccrued, apparent or unapparent, which now exist, heretofore existed, or may hereafter exist, and without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of additional or different facts, and based upon any theory of law or equity, including, but not limited to, conduct which is negligent, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any

28

- 21 -

duty, law or rule. The Settling Parties acknowledge, and the Releasing Persons shall be deemed by operation of the Judgment to have acknowledged, that the foregoing waiver was separately bargained for and was a material element of the Settlement.

1.39 "Unreleased Claims": means (i) any direct and/or class action claims (not derivative claims) asserted against any of the Defendants expressly named as a defendant in the class action captioned *In re Momentus Inc. S'holder Litig.*, Case No. 2022-1023 (Del. Ch. Nov. 11, 2022) before the Honorable Paul A. Fioravanti, Jr.; or (ii) any claims for indemnification or advancement, entitlement to insurance proceeds, or any other claim belonging to an Individual Defendant against the Company.

2.

Terms of the Settlement

2.1 Within sixty (60) days after the Effective Date, the Company shall implement the Reforms set forth in Exhibit A hereto, which shall remain in effect for no less than four (4) years from the date the Judgment becomes Final (the "Effective Term"), subject to the provisions of § V, ¶¶ 7.1 and 7.2.

2.2 The Company acknowledges and agrees that the filing, issuance, pendency, and settlement of the Derivative Matters were a material cause of its decision to adopt, implement, and maintain the Reforms.

2.3 The Company further acknowledges and agrees that the Reforms benefit the Company and its stockholders and constitute fair, reasonable and adequate consideration for Plaintiffs' release of the derivative claims.

3.

Approval and Notice

3.1 Within *ten (10) business days* after the execution of this Stipulation, Plaintiff in the Hanna Action shall submit this Stipulation, together with its exhibits, to the Court. Thereafter, Plaintiff in the Hanna Action will apply for entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, substantially in the form of **Exhibit B** attached hereto, requesting, *inter alia*: (i) preliminary approval of the Settlement set forth in this Stipulation; (ii) approval of the method of providing notice of the proposed Settlement to Current Momentus Stockholders; (iii) approval of the form of Notice

- 22 -

attached hereto as **Exhibit C** and the Summary Notice attached hereto as **Exhibit D**; and (iv) a date for the Settlement Hearing.

3.2 Within *ten (10) days* after the Court's entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, the Company shall: (1) post a copy of the Notice and the Stipulation (including exhibits thereto) on the Investor Relations page of the Company's website; (2) issue a press release with the Summary Notice on *Business Wire*, *GlobeNewswire*, or similar news wire service; and (3) file with the SEC the Notice and Stipulation (including exhibits thereto) as exhibits to a Form 8-K with the SEC.

3.3 The Notice and Summary Notice shall provide a link to the Investor Relations page on the Company's website where the Notice and Stipulation (including exhibits thereto) may be viewed, which page will be maintained through the date of the Settlement Hearing. The Company shall be solely responsible for paying the costs and expenses related to providing notice of the Settlement set forth in §V, ¶3.2. The Parties shall confirm in appropriate settlement filings that they believe the manner of the notice procedures set forth in this paragraph constitute adequate and reasonable notice to the Company's stockholders pursuant to applicable law and due process, and the Company's counsel shall file a declaration or affidavit confirming compliance with provision of the notice of the Settlement as approved by the Court within *twenty (20) days* after the Court's entry of the Preliminary Approval Order.

3.4 The Plaintiff in the Hanna Action shall request that the Court hold the Settlement Hearing to approve the Settlement and the Fee and Expense Amount and Plaintiffs' Service Awards at least forty-five (45) calendar days after the notice program detailed in §V, ¶3.2 above is given.

3.5 Pending the Court's determination as to final approval of the Settlement, Plaintiffs and all other Persons are barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, instigating, or in any way participating in the commencement or prosecution of any action asserting any Released Claim against any of the Released Persons.

4. <u>Releases</u>

4.1 Upon the Effective Date, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and their Related Persons, derivatively on behalf of the Company, and on behalf of all current Company stockholders who may

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 23 -

act derivatively on behalf of the Company, shall release, relinquish, and discharge the Defendants' Releasees from Plaintiffs' Released Claims.

4.2 Upon the Effective Date, Defendants, on behalf of themselves and their Related Persons, shall release, relinquish, and discharge each and all of the Plaintiffs' Releasees from any and all manner of Defendants' Released Claims.

4.3 If the Settlement is approved by the Court, Defendants and/or Defendants' Releasees may file the Settlement and/or Judgment in any action that has been or may be brought against them in order to cause the dismissal and to support as may be necessary a claim or defense based on principles of *res judicata*, *collateral estoppel*, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim, and Plaintiffs' Counsel in the Derivative Matters other than the Hanna Action shall promptly dismiss their Derivative Matters with prejudice in accordance with §V, ¶¶ 6.4 herein.

4.4 Notwithstanding §V, ¶¶ 4.1 through 4.3 above, nothing in the Stipulation or the Judgment shall provide a release of any claims to enforce this Stipulation, the Settlement, or the Judgment or bar any action by any Settling Party to enforce the terms of the Stipulation, the Settlement, or the Judgment. In addition, nothing in §V, ¶¶ 4.1 through 4.3 above is intended to release any rights to indemnification, insurance coverage, or advancement of expenses that any Released Person has or may have under any insurance policy, contract, bylaw, or charter provision, or under Delaware law, including, but not limited to, any rights any Released Person has or may have related to any pending or threatened civil or government proceedings.

5.

Separately Negotiated Fee and Expense <u>Amount and Plaintiffs' Service Awards</u>

5.1 After reaching agreement in principle on the substantive consideration for the Settlement, the Settling Parties commenced good faith, arm's-length negotiations concerning a fair and reasonable amount of attorneys' fees and expenses to be paid to Plaintiffs' Counsel, overseen and assisted by the Mediator. The Settling Parties acknowledge and agree that the Settlement and the Reforms identified in the Stipulation provide substantial benefits to the Company. As a result, and in recognition of those substantial benefits, the Company's directors' and officers' liability -24-

Case 5:23-cv-00374-EJD Document 34-2 Filed 08/26/24 Page 26 of 35

insurance carriers have agreed to pay to Plaintiffs' Counsel an award of attorneys' fees and expenses
in the total amount of \$300,000 (the "Fee and Expense Amount"), subject to approval by the Court.
The Settling Parties mutually agree that Plaintiffs' Counsel are entitled to awards of reasonable
attorneys' fees and expenses for their roles in connection with the Settlement, and Defendants
further agree not to oppose Plaintiffs' request for an amount up to or including the Fee and Expense
Amount.

5.2 Defendants shall not object to Plaintiffs' request to the Court for approval of reasonable service awards (the "Service Awards") to be paid to each of the Plaintiffs from the Fee and Expense Amount.

5.3 The Fee and Expense Amount shall be transferred to a trust account (the "Trust Account") held by Johnson Fistel LLP ("Johnson Fistel"), as receiving agent for Plaintiffs' Counsel within *thirty (30) calendar days* after the date of entry of the Preliminary Approval Order. Defendants and Defendants' Counsel shall have no responsibility for, nor bear any risk or liability with respect to, the Trust Account, its operation, and any taxes or expenses incurred in connection with the Trust Account. Johnson Fistel shall be solely responsible for any administrative costs associated with the Trust Account as well as the filing of all informational and other tax returns with the Internal Revenue Service, or any other state or local taxing authority, as may be necessary or appropriate.

5.4 The attorneys' fees and costs, to the extent approved by the Court, shall be released to Plaintiffs' Counsel from the Trust Account once the Court enters the Final Order and Judgment approving the Settlement and the Fee and Expense Amount, notwithstanding any potential appeals. Plaintiffs' Counsel shall allocate the Fee and Expense Amount among themselves. Should the Court order the payment of attorneys' fees and expenses in an amount less than the agreed Fee and Expense Amount, then only the Court-approved amount, plus interest earned thereon, shall be released to Plaintiffs' Counsel from the Trust Account, and all remaining amounts shall be returned to Momentus within *fifteen (15) calendar days* of the entry of the Judgment.

- 25 -

Case 5:23-cv-00374-EJD Document 34-2 Filed 08/26/24 Page 27 of 35

5.5 Payment of the Fee and Expense Amount shall constitute final and complete payment for all of Plaintiffs' Counsel's attorneys' fees and expenses in connection with the Derivative Matters. Plaintiffs' Counsel agree that any disputes regarding the allocation of the Fee and Expense Amount among them shall be presented to and be mediated by the Mediator, and if mediation is unsuccessful, decided on a final, binding, non-appealable basis by the Mediator, on the terms and subject to the processes and procedures set forth by the Mediator in his sole discretion. The Mediator's fees and costs for any such mediation and/or arbitration shall be borne solely by Plaintiffs' Counsel and split evenly among Plaintiffs' Counsel. Defendants and Defendants' Counsel shall have no responsibility for the allocation or distribution of the Fee and Expense Amount amongst Plaintiffs' Counsel. Defendants shall have no obligation to make any payment to Plaintiffs' Counsel. The Company's insurance carriers shall have no obligation to make any payment to Plaintiffs' Counsel other than the payment to the Trust Account as provided in §V, ¶§5.1-5.2 herein.

5.6 If for any reason, any condition in §V, ¶6.1 is not met, if the Stipulation does not become effective or is in any way canceled or terminated, if the court in the Rivlin Action and/or the Lindsey Action indicates that it will not enter dismissal with prejudice of such action as contemplated in §V, ¶6.4, or if the Judgment does not become Final (individually or collectively, a "Triggering Event"), each of Plaintiffs' Counsel (and each of their successors) shall be obligated to repay to Momentus, within *fifteen (15) calendar days* of the Triggering Event, the amount of the Fee and Expense Amount, or part thereof, that they received respectively. To the extent all or any remaining portion of the Fee and Expense Amount remains in the Trust Account at the time of a Triggering Event, then Johnson Fistel will return all (or any remaining portion) of the Fee and Expense Amount to Momentus (with the interest accrued thereon) within *fifteen (15) calendar days* of the Triggering Event.

5.7 The Trust Account, Johnson Fistel (as receiving agent), and each of Plaintiffs' Counsel who receives any portion of the Fee and Expense Amount shall be subject to the Court's

- 26 -

jurisdiction for the purposes of enforcing Section V herein or any other of the provisions herein related to the Fee and Expense Amount (except as otherwise provided above).

5.8 Except as otherwise provided herein, each of the Settling Parties shall bear his, her, or its own costs and attorneys' fees.

5.9 In light of the benefits they have helped to create for all Current Momentus Stockholders, each of the Plaintiffs may apply for Court-approved Service Awards in the amount of as much as \$2,000 each (the "Service Awards"). The Service Awards shall be funded exclusively from the Fee and Expense Amount. Defendants shall not object to Plaintiffs' request to the Court for approval of reasonable Service Awards, and Defendants shall have no obligation to separately pay any such Service Award.

6.

Conditions of Settlement, Effect <u>Of Disapproval, Cancellation, or Termination</u>

6.1 The Effective Date of the Stipulation shall be conditioned upon the occurrence of all of the following events:

(i) the Court's entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, substantially in the form as Exhibit B, and approval of the content and method of providing notice of the proposed Settlement to Current Momentus Stockholders, and the subsequent dissemination of the notice to Current Momentus Stockholders;

(ii) Court entry of the Judgment, in all material respects in the form set forth asExhibit E, approving the Settlement and dismissing the Hanna Action with prejudice, without awarding costs to any party, except as provided herein;

(iii) Plaintiffs' submission of requests for dismissal with prejudice of the Rivlin Action and the Lindsey Action, in accordance with §V, ¶¶ 6.4 herein;

(iv) the payment of the Fee and Expense Amount in accordance with $V, \P 5.1-5.2$ hereof; and

(v) the passing of the date upon which the Judgment become Final.

6.2 If any of the conditions specified in $V, \P 6.1$ are not met, then the Stipulation shall be canceled and terminated subject to $V, \P 6.4$, and the Settling Parties shall be restored to their - 27 -

respective positions in the Derivative Matters as of the date immediately preceding the date of this Stipulation, unless Plaintiffs' Counsel and Defendants' Counsel mutually agree in writing to proceed with the Stipulation. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, an order or proceeding relating to the Fee and Expense Amount, or any appeal from any order relating thereto (or reversal or modification thereof), shall not operate to cancel the Stipulation, allow for the termination of the Settlement, or affect or delay the finality of the Judgment.

6.3 In the event that the Stipulation is not approved by the Court, or the Settlement is terminated for any reason, including pursuant to V, 46.2 above, all negotiations, proceedings, documents prepared, and statements made in connection herewith shall be without prejudice to the Settling Parties, shall not be deemed or construed to be an admission by any of the Settling Parties of any act, matter, or proposition, and shall not be used in any manner for any purpose in any subsequent proceeding in the Litigation. In such event, the terms and provisions of the Stipulation shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Settling Parties and shall not be used in the Derivative Matters for any purpose, and any judgment or orders entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation shall be treated as vacated, *nunc pro tunc*.

6.4 Within *five (5) days* of the Court's entry of the Judgment in all material respects in the form set forth as **Exhibit E**, (i) Plaintiffs in the Rivlin Action and Lindsey Action shall file the necessary documents for voluntary dismissal of those respective actions with prejudice in accordance with local rules and substantially in the forms attached hereto as **Exhibits F and G**, and (ii) the Plaintiffs shall cause the Litigation Demand and any other such demand on the Board of Momentus to be withdrawn. The Settling Parties agree to cooperate to accomplish the terms as set forth herein.

7. <u>Bankruptcy</u>

7.1 In the event any proceedings by or on behalf of Momentus, whether voluntary or involuntary, are initiated under any chapter of the United States Bankruptcy Code, including an act of receivership, asset seizure, or similar federal or state law action ("Bankruptcy Proceedings"), the Settling Parties agree to use their reasonable best efforts to obtain all necessary orders, consents,

- 28 -

releases, and approvals for effectuation of the Stipulation in a timely and expeditious manner; provided, however, that this Stipulation and any orders contemplated hereby shall not prevent a bankruptcy court from subsequently approving a bankruptcy plan that modifies or supersedes the Reforms in whole or in part; and provided further that nothing herein shall preclude or limit in any way any Person from advancing arguments in Bankruptcy Proceedings regarding the priority of Unreleased Claims or claims regarding entitlement to insurance proceeds and/or assets of the estate in the event of any Bankruptcy Proceedings.

7.2 In the event of any Bankruptcy Proceedings by or on behalf of Momentus, the Settling Parties agree that all dates and deadlines set forth in the Stipulation will be extended for such periods of time as are necessary to obtain necessary orders, consents, releases and approvals from the bankruptcy court to carry out the terms and conditions of the Stipulation.

12

13

14

15

16

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

8. **Miscellaneous Provisions**

8.1 The Settling Parties: (i) acknowledge that it is their intent to consummate this Stipulation; and (ii) agree to cooperate to the extent reasonably necessary to effectuate and implement all terms and conditions of the Stipulation and to exercise their best efforts to accomplish the foregoing terms and conditions of the Stipulation.

17 8.2 The Settling Parties intend this Settlement to be, and shall be deemed by operation of 18 the Judgment to be, a final and complete resolution of all disputes between them with respect to the 19 Derivative Matters. The Settling Parties further agree that the terms of the Settlement were 20 negotiated in good faith and at arm's length by the Settling Parties and reflect a settlement that was reached voluntarily based upon adequate information and after consultation with competent legal 22 counsel. The Settling Parties agree not to assert under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil 23 Procedure or any similar law, rule, or regulation, that the Derivative Matters were brought or 24 defended in bad faith or without a reasonable basis.

25 8.3 Each of the Defendants expressly denies and continues to deny all allegations of 26 wrongdoing, liability, or damages against himself or herself arising out of any conduct, statements, 27 acts, or omissions alleged, or which could have been alleged, in the Derivative Matters.

28

- 29 -

8.4 Whether or not the Settlement is approved by the Court, and whether or not the Settlement is consummated, the fact and terms of this Stipulation (including any exhibits attached hereto, all proceedings in connection with the Settlement, and any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement):

(a) shall not be offered, received, or used in any way against the Settling Parties as evidence of, or be deemed to be evidence of, (i) a presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Settling Parties with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs, (ii) the validity, or lack thereof, of any claim that has been or could have been asserted or raised in the Derivative Matters or in any other litigation, (iii) the deficiency or infirmity of any defense that has been or could have been asserted or raised in the Derivative Matters or in any other litigation, (iii) the Derivative Matters or in any other litigation, (iii) the Derivative Matters or in any other litigation, or (iv) any fault, wrongdoing, negligence, or liability of any of Defendants' Releasees;

(b) shall not be offered, received, or used in any way (i) against any of
Defendants' Releasees as evidence of, or be deemed to be evidence of, a presumption,
concession, or admission of any fault, misrepresentation or omission with respect to any
statement or written document approved, issued, or made by any of Defendants' Releasees,
or (ii) against Plaintiffs' Releasees as evidence of any infirmity in their claims;

(c) shall not be offered, received, or used in any way against any of Defendants'
 Releasees as evidence of, or be deemed to be evidence of, a presumption, concession, or
 admission of any liability, fault, negligence, omission, or wrongdoing, or in any way referred
 to for any other reason as against Defendants' Releasees in any arbitration proceeding or other
 civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or
 other tribunal.

8.5 Neither this Stipulation nor the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of this Stipulation, or the Settlement, shall be admissible in any proceeding for any purpose, except to enforce the terms of the Settlement; provided, however, that the Released Persons may refer to the Settlement, and file the Stipulation, the Court order

- 30 -

Case 5:23-cv-00374-EJD Document 34-2 Filed 08/26/24 Page 32 of 35

approving the Stipulation, and/or the Judgment, in any action that may be brought against them to effectuate the protections granted them hereunder, including, without limitation, to support a defense or claim based on principles of *res judicata*, *collateral estoppel*, full faith and credit, release, standing, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or claim under United States federal or state law or foreign law.

8.6 The exhibits to the Stipulation are material and integral parts hereof and are fully incorporated herein by this reference.

8.7 The Stipulation may be amended or modified only by a written instrument signed by or on behalf of all the Settling Parties or their respective successors-in-interest. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any provisions of this Stipulation.

8.8 This Stipulation and the exhibits attached hereto represent the complete and final resolution of all disputes among the Settling Parties with respect to the Derivative Matters, constitute the entire agreement among the Settling Parties, and supersede any and all prior negotiations, discussions, agreements, or undertakings, whether oral or written, with respect to such matters.

8.9 The waiver by one party of any breach of the Settlement by any other party shall not be deemed a waiver of any other prior or subsequent breach of the Settlement. The provisions of the Settlement may not be waived except by a writing signed by the affected party, or counsel for that party.

8.10 The headings in the Stipulation and its exhibits are used for the purpose of convenience only and are not meant to have legal effect.

8.11 The Stipulation and the Settlement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of,
the successors and assigns of the Settling Parties, Defendants' Releasees, and Plaintiffs' Releasees.
The Settling Parties agree that this Stipulation will run to their respective successors-in-interest, and
they further agree that any planned, proposed, or actual sale, merger or change-in-control of
Momentus shall not void this Stipulation, and that, in the event of a planned, proposed, or actual

- 31 -

Case 5:23-cv-00374-EJD Document 34-2 Filed 08/26/24 Page 33 of 35

sale, merger, or change-in-control of Momentus, they will continue to seek final approval of this Stipulation expeditiously, including, but not limited to, the Settlement terms reflected in this Stipulation and the Fee and Expense Amount.

8.12 The Stipulation and the exhibits attached hereto shall be considered to have been negotiated, executed, and delivered, and to be wholly performed, in the State of California and the rights and obligations of the Settling Parties to the Stipulation shall be construed and enforced in accordance with, and governed by, the internal, substantive laws of the State of California without giving effect to that State's choice of law principles. No representations, warranties, or inducements have been made to any party concerning the Stipulation or its exhibits other than the representations, warranties, and covenants contained and memorialized in such documents.

8.13 This Stipulation shall be construed as if the Settling Parties collectively prepared it, and any uncertainty or ambiguity shall not be interpreted against any of the Settling Parties.

8.14 All agreements made and orders entered during the course of the Derivative Matters relating to the confidentiality of information and documents shall survive this Stipulation.

8.15 Nothing in this Stipulation, or the negotiations or proceedings relating to the Settlement, is intended, or shall be deemed, to constitute a waiver of any applicable privilege or immunity, including, without limitation, the attorney-client privilege, the joint defense privilege, the accountants' privilege, or work product immunity; further, all information and documents transmitted between Plaintiffs' Counsel, on the one hand, and Defendants' Counsel, on the other hand, in connection with the Settlement shall be kept confidential and shall be inadmissible in any proceeding in any United States federal or state court, or other tribunal or otherwise, in accordance with Rule 408 of the Federal Rules of Evidence as if such Rule applied in all respects in any such proceeding or forum.

8.16 The Settling Parties intend that the Court retain jurisdiction for the purpose of effectuating and enforcing the terms of the Settlement.

1

2

3

4

5

8.17 Each Person executing the Stipulation or its exhibits on behalf of any of the Settling
Parties hereby warrants that such Person has the full authority to do so. The Stipulation shall be
binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors and assigns of the Settling Parties.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Settling Parties hereto have caused the Stipulation to be

executed, by their duly authorized attorneys, dated as of August 26, 2024.

6	/s/ Brett M. Middleton	/s/ Charles E. Elder	
7	Brett M. Middleton	Charles E. Elder	
 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	JOHNSON FISTEL, LLP 501 West Broadway, Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 230-0063 brettm@johnsonfistel.com Counsel for Plaintiff Melissa Hanna and Stockholder Kamal Qureshi	 BRADLEY ARANT BOULT CUMMINGS LLP 1221 Broadway, Suite 2400 Nashville, Tennessee 37203 Telephone: (615) 244-2582 celder@bradley.com Aaron Goodman BAKER & McKENZIE LLP 10250 Constellation Blvd., Suite 1850 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 201-4709 agoodman@bakermckenzie.com Counsel for Nominal Defendant Momentus Inc. and Defendants John C. Rood, Chris Hadfield, Mitchel B. Kugler, Victorino Mercado, Kimberly A. Reed, and Linda J. Reiners	
20	/s/ Timoth Brown	/s/ Jeffrey L. Steinfeld	
21	Timothy Brown	Jeffrey L. Steinfeld	
22 23 24 25 26	THE BROWN LAW FIRM, P.C. 767 Third Avenue, Suite 2501 New York, NY 10017 Telephone: (516) 922-5427 tbrown@thebrownlawfirm.net <i>Counsel for Plaintiff Justin Rivlin</i>	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 333 South Grand Avenue, 38 th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 615-1700 Email: jlsteinfeld@winston.com Counsel for Defendant Dawn Harms	
27			
- 33 -		- 33 -	
	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT CASE NO. 5:23-CV-00374		

	Case 5:23-cv-00374-EJD Documen	at 34-2 Filed 08/26/24 Page 35 of 35	
1	_/s/ Thomas J. McKenna	/s/ Christopher Esbrook	
1	Thomas J. McKenna	Christopher Esbrook	
2	Gregory M. Egleston	-	
2		ESBROOK P.C.	
3	GAINEY MCKENNA &	Christopher Esbrook	
4	EGLESTON	David F. Pustilnik	
	260 Madison Avenue, 22 nd Floor	Kirk Watkins	
5	New York, NY 10016	321 Clark Street, Suite 1930	
6	Telephone: (212) 983-1300	Chicago, IL 60654	
0	tjmckenna@gme-law.com	Telephone: (312) 319-7680	
7	gegleston@gme-law.com	christopher.esbrook@esbrook.com	
-	Counsel for Digintiff Prign Lindson	david.pustilnik@esbrook.com	
8	Counsel for Plaintiff Brian Lindsey	kirk.watkins@esbrook.com	
9		Counsel for Defendants Brian Kabot, Juan	
-		Manuel Quiroga, Edward K. Freedman,	
10		James Norris, Marc Lehmann, James	
11		Hofmockel, Ann Kono, and SRC-NI	
11		Holdings, Inc.	
12			
13		_/s/ William E. Stoner	
14		William E. Stoner	
15		STONER CARLSON LLP	
16		301 E. Colorado Boulevard, Suite 320	
10		Pasadena, California 91101	
17		Telephone: (213) 687-2640	
10		wstoner@stonercarlson.com	
18		Counsel for Defendant Fred Kennedy	
19		Counsel for Defendant I fed Hennedy	
• •			
20			
21			
22			
23			
23			
24			
25			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20		- 34 -	
	CTIDUU ATIONI AND A ODEEMENT OF GETTUEMENT		
	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT CASE NO. 5:23-CV-00374		